Appln. No.: 10/657,289

Amdt. dated June 13, 2005

Reply to Office action of March 1, 2005

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 remain in the application with claim 1 being independent.

Claim 1 distinguishes over the prior art or any combination thereof by reciting the

plate (40) presenting the key hole slot. There is no motivation in the references for the

combination of claim 1 wherein a separate plate is attached to the fence and presents a

keyhole shaped slot. Just because keyhole slots are well known does not render the use of

such a slot in a new combination unpatentable. Applicant is entitled to a patent on the

novel and specific combination set forth in claim 1.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Application, as amended, is now

presented in condition for allowance, which allowance is respectfully solicited. Applicant

believes that no fees are due, however, if any become required, the Commissioner is

hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account 08-2789. Further and favorable reconsideration of the outstanding Office Action

is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.** 

June 13, 2005`

Date

Ilton, Jr., Registration No. 22,180

The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite #101

39400 Woodward Avenue

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-5151

(248) 723-0352

Appln. No.: 10/657,289 Amdt. dated June 13, 2005

JUN 1 5 2005

Reply to Office action of March 1, 2005

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this **Amendment** for U.S. Serial No.: 10/657,289 filed September 8, 2003 is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 on **June 13, 2005**.

Unne L. Kubit

HWM/alk
G:\H-I\Hewitt\Ip00043\Patent\Amendment 6-13-05.doc